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## Introduction

California's diverse climates and geographies support more than 275 ant species, of which only a small percentage are considered agricultural pests. These pest species tend to thrive in environments that are typical of agricultural farms, and tend to have feeding habits directed towards proteins, such as seeds and dead insects, or towards sugars, such as nectar and insect honeydew. When ant
 species that prefer sugars cohabitate with insects that produce honeydew, they disrupt the balance of nature by protecting those pests from their natural enemies. Removal of ants that disrupt biological control is a critical component of integrated pest management programs that promote sustainability.

Recent studies have focused on the development of hydrogel-based baiting strategies that allow liquids to be applied to a field using a gelatinous substrate that can be applied through traditional spreaders. Baits are made by placing a small amount of toxicant into sugar-water, and then allowing this solution to absorb into hydrogel crystals or beads. Once applied, ants drink the liquid at the hydrogel surface, carry it into the nest, and distribute it as food within the colony. Over time, this causes the colony to collapse.

Hydrogels have the potential to be used in combination with other components of IPM, such as proper pest identification, monitoring programs, and cultural, biological and chemical controls. Research on hydrogels has included investigations of a

wide range of active ingredients, at varying concentrations, delivered at a range of bait volumes. The most promising results have been seen with hydrogel baits containing thiamethoxam (conventional) and spinosad (organic), using 5 to 10 gallons of bait per acre, using concentrations of pesticides that result in total amounts of active ingredient per acre that are approximately 100 times lower than what is currently recommended for foliar and soil applications.

Hydrogels have significant potential to reduce ant populations, thus maximizing the impacts of biological control organisms, resulting in decreased populations of mealybugs, psyllids, scale, and other pests in California.

## Biological Control

Biological control programs are extremely valuable in IPM programs for hemipteran pests. This includes natural enemies that are native to California, and others that have intentionally been introduced. The most famous continues to be the world's first intentional introduction of a foreign natural enemy for cottony cushion scale in the late 1880s. Scientists went to Australia, the native home for this pest, and brought back and released the predatory Vedalia beetle. Since its release, it has played a major role in regulating cottony cushion scale for over 130 years.

The efficacy of biological control against hemipterans that produce honeydew can be significantly reduced when ants that feed on sugars are in orchards. These ants protect the pests from natural enemies in exchange for nutrient-rich honeydew as food. Ant control is necessary if the full impact of natural enemies is to be achieved. Studies have shown that when Argentine ant is controlled, citrus mealybug densities declined by $>90 \%$ and ACP densities decreased by about $75 \%$ due to natural enemies.


Ant feeding on Tamarixia parasitoid

## Predators.

Predatory beetles, such as Vedalia beetle and Cryptolaemus montrouzieri (a.k.a. the mealybug destroyer) are highly effective predators of sucking insects. Naturally occurring syrphid fly larvae (i.e., hover flies) are important natural enemies that feed on pest hemipterans, especially aphids and Asian citrus psyllid nymphs. Lacewing larvae also feed on a wide range of sucking insects, worms, and mites in both citrus and grapes.

## Parasitoids

Numerous species of parasitoids have been introduced for control of citrus pests, including Aphytis melinus for California red scale and multiple species for citrus mealybug. Releases of Tamarixia radiata from Pakistan have resulted in significant density reductions of Asian citrus psyllid. In grapes, the most important parasitoid is Anagyrus pseudocci that helps control vine mealybug.


Mealybug destroyer beetle
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## Monitoring

Making observations regarding the presence of ants, and species of ants present, is easy. Quantifying them is much more difficult, but can be beneficial when trying to track ant densities over time, such as prior to and after a treatment is made. Methods for quantifying ants include timed visual counts, cotton balls or vials containing sugarwater, and infra-red sensors to automatically count ants on irrigation pipes.

## Timed counts

In tree crops with a smooth trunk, timed searches are a quick and easy way to monitor ants. This is especially true for species of ants that form trails, such as Argentine ant. Using a stopwatch, count the number of ants passing over a fixed line across the visible side of the trunk for 15 seconds. Record the results. The trick is to spend very short amounts of time sampling as many trees as possible to get a comfortable feel for ant distribution and density across the orchard. Counts are the most meaningful if they are taken at a consistent time during the day when ants are active, such as the late morning to early afternoon.


Automating timed counts
Researchers are working to develop a method to automate the entire ant-counting process. Infra-red (IR) sensors attached to irrigation pipes can count ants as they break an IR beam spanning the top of the irrigation pipe. Sensors can be programmed to turn themselves on for one minute on the hour every hour of every day. Count data are stored, then relayed to the cloud via gateways stationed in the orchard. An app on a smart device or desktop computer, enables the user to visual ant count data in different sections of the orchard in near real time. If ant densities exceed critical levels, control can applied in specific areas of the orchard where ant management is needed. You can find more information about the status of the development of these sensors at the Farm Sense website. https://www.farmsense.io/
Preliminary studies with IR sensors suggest that ant counts exceeding 100 or more moving on an irrigation pipe or trunk within a one minute observation period may be indicative of a problematic infestation needing management.

## Monitoring Vials

Foraging activity of Argentine ant can be measured over 24-h period by deploying plastic $50-\mathrm{mL}$ conical centrifuge tubes filled with 40 ml of $25 \%$ sucrose solution that are buried into the ground. Vials are fitted with weed block fabric secured between the vial opening and lid. Ants access the sucrose solution through a $2.5-\mathrm{mm}$ diameter hole made in the lid.


Vials are evaluated by taking the weights before and after deployment. After accounting for evaporative loss (i.e., liquid loss in deployed control vials with no ant access), the amount of liquid consumed is divided by 0.003 g (i.e., the average amount of liquid removed by each Argentine ant forager per visit) to determine the total number of ant visits. Provision of an additional food source, like sugar water filled monitoring vials, may artificially inflate estimates of ant densities as foragers actively recruit to these types of resources. Consequently, ground-deployed sugar baited monitoring vials may be an unreliable sampling method for monitoring Argentine ant activity but could be used to corroborate findings from other monitoring methods, like visual observations.


## Cotton ball sampling

In grapevines, where the bark is rough and loose, timed searches are not an effective way to quantify ants, especially if the ants don't form trails. These ants tend to move underneath the bark where their movement is not readily seen, and cannot readily be counted. In this case, cotton balls saturated with sugar-water can be an effective way to quantify ants. Sampling is done by making a $25 \%$ sucrose solution by dissolving one part sugar in four parts water. Cotton balls soaked in the solution are placed on the ground near the base of the trunk. After 2-3 hours, return and record the number of ants. For small species of ants where counting is not practical, a ratings scale can easily be developed. Compare counts over time to evaluate changes in pest density, such as before and after implementing a pest management strategy. Also, please note that this baiting strategy is most effective in the spring, and that cotton balls containing only sugar-water are not effective at attracting ants later in the season once honeydew is present.

## Cultural controls of ants

Cultural controls are non-insecticidal management options for suppressing damaging pest populations according to how the plants are produced. Several cultural control options are available in citrus.

## Tree skirting

One cultural control practice involves skirting trees to keep foliage off of the ground and pruning trees so that canopies do not touch. These types of foliage management reduce ant access points into canopies and force ants to use tree trunks to reach pest hemipterans.

## Trail blocking and sticky barriers

Argentine ants are notorious for making straight-line trails to their destination, commonly utilizing drip hose as the most direct, smooth-surfaced, route.
Burying drip hose under the ground, applying mulch to cover the drip line, or using a 3D printer to make 'speed bump' barriers can slow down and decrease the efficiency of their movement.
Application of sticky barriers to trunks restricts ant movement into the canopy because ants can't easily cross this barrier. This cultural control technique is often temporary as dust, leaves, and twigs that get stuck on the barrier form bridges over which ants can travel. Dead ants
 trapped in sticky material may also contribute to bridge building, eventually allowing nest mates to cross the sticky barrier to reach pests. Sticky barriers are expensive to apply in terms of materials and labor, and may potentially cause trunk damage.


## Cover crops for natural enemy enhancement

The adult stages of many natural enemies (NE) require pollen and or nectar for survival, host seeking, mate finding and egg maturation. Floral resources can attract and feed NE in orchards or vineyards where they are desired to help manage pests like ACP, scales and mealybugs. Different types of flowers are not equally beneficial to NE. For example, research has demonstrated that citrus flowers were not beneficial to ACP parasitoids, whereas, buckwheat flowers enhanced parasitoid longevity by 30 days. The floral cups of some flowers


Hoverfly adult contain hairs that deter NE from accessing the nectar.


Bickwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum) cover crop

Grapes are wind pollinated so their flowers do not provide pollen or nectar to NE. Mustard and sow thistle weeds may provide floral resources, but not all weed flowers are accessible to NE, weeds compete with the crop for nutrients and water, and some may harbor pests that can transfer to the crop. Cover crops that selectively benefit NE can be selected. They can also be positioned around field margins or other undisturbed areas to reduce competition with the crop and protect floral patches from insecticide application. When selecting a flowering cover crop, it is important to include plants that have flowers with shallow floral cups, such as buckwheat and alyssum, so the nectar is accessible to a wide range of NE.

Research conducted by Hoddle Lab investigating the effect of short-term summer flowering alyssum on the survival of ACP demonstrated that alyssum enhanced hoverfly oviposition and predator abundance, leading to a $10 \%$ reduction in the number of ACP nymphs surviving to adulthood. Further research in 2022 investigated whether providing an alyssum and buckwheat cover crop from April through November can lead to increased pest control of sap-sucking pests in citrus orchards in Redlands and Hemet. In cover crop plots, buckwheat and alyssum were sown in the tree line on February $28^{\text {th }}, 2022$ and sprinkler irrigation to water the cover crop was installed from the existing citrus tree irrigation. Staggered sowings extended nectar availability through November 2022. Preliminary results demonstrate that hoverflies were present in citrus orchards from AprilNovember, whereas, general orchard practices and the hot dry climate removed most flowering weeds from June-Nov. Hoverfly abundance was 4 times higher and summer populations of scales were 8 times lower in cover crop plots compared with control plots. These findings collectively illustrate the benefit of providing flowering plants, like alyssum and buckwheat, towards sustaining hoverflies and other natural enemies in orchards.

## Polyacrylamide hydrogel preparation*

Preparation instructions:

1. Determine the volume of bait needed (acres treated $x 5$ or 10 gallons of finished product per acre)
2. Fill a container with $2 / 3$ of the water volume desired in finished bait.
3. Add sugar and mix. A drill with a mortar/paint-mixing paddle works well.
4. Add the remaining water to top off the container with the desired volume.
5. Add the toxicant and lightly stir. Follow all label instructions regarding PPE.
6. Add the dry hydrogel crystals and cover. Make sure that the container is properly labeled.
7. Allow to sit for a minimum of 4 hours, and ideally overnight, before use.

Table 1. Mixing amounts for thiamethoxam and spinosad ${ }^{1}$.

| Target concentrations | Finished volume | Water | Sugar to make 25\% sucrose | Insecticide ${ }^{1,2}$ | Hydrogel ${ }^{1,3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thiamethoxam 0.0015\% | 4 gal | $\sim 3.6$ gal | $1 \mathrm{gal}(\sim 8.3 \mathrm{lb})$ | 0.30 g | 198 g |
|  | 100 gal | ~90 gal | 25 gal ( $\sim 208 \mathrm{lb}$ ) | 7.5 g | 10.9 lb |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Spinosad } \\ 0.011 \% \end{gathered}$ | 4 gal | $\sim 3.6$ gal | $1 \mathrm{gal}(\sim 8.3 \mathrm{lb})$ | 7.1 ml | 198 g |
|  | 100 gal | ~90 gal | 25 ga (~208 lb) | 177 ml | 10.9 lb |

[^0]Application instructions:

1. Transfer bait to the hopper of a spreader mounted to an ATV.
2. Apply baits at a rate of 5 to 10 gallon of finished product per acre. Applications work best when the hopper is $50 \%$ or more filled, with decreased volume applied as less head pressure occurs as the hopper empties.
3. Adjust spreader to maximize the amount of bait that is deposited directly underneath the trees and vines.
4. Apply baits when ants are active with irrigation turned off.
5. Avoid applying baits during hot dry conditions.
[^1]
## Alginate hydrogel bead preparation

## Preparation instructions

Biodegradable alginate hydrogel beads (HGBs) can be used to deliver liquid bait to sugar feeding pest ants, like Argentine ant. HGBs are easily made with materials that are available on the internet, such as sodium alginate, calcium chloride, and potassium sorbate. Because each of these ingredients is considered a food product, HGBs have the potential to be approved for use in organic production systems. There are numerous YouTube videos on how to make them.


Once made, HGBs are "conditioned" by placing them in $25 \%$ sucrose solution that is laced with a very low concentration of insecticide. HGBs absorb this liquid over a 24 hour period. After this conditioning period is over, HGBs are removed from the conditioning solution, stored in buckets, and transported to the orchard or vineyard.

## Application method

 HGBs should be spread on the orchard floor under citrus trees where ants are foraging. Research with HGBs was almost exclusively conducted by applying HGBs by hand underneath the canopy near the trunk.Ants readily recruit to HGBs, drink the liquid bait, and return it to the nest where the toxicant is distributed to nest mates, the brood, and reproductive queens.


## Taxonomic characteristics of common ants in CA orchards and vineyards

| Common <br> name | Scientific name | Size <br> $\mathbf{( m m )}$ | Nodes on <br> petiole | Antennae, other characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentine | Linepithema <br> humile | $3.0-4.0$ | one | 12 segments, forms huge <br> supercolonies |
| Field or <br> Native Gray | Formica <br> aerata | $4.0-7.5$ | one | 12 segments, common in the Central <br> Valley |
| Field | Formica <br> perpilosa | $4.0-7.5$ | one | 12 segments, common in the <br> Coachella Valley |
| Pavement | Tetramorium <br> immigrans | $2.0-3.0$ | two | 12 segments, 3-seg.club, grooves on <br> head, 2 spines behind thorax |
| Dark rover | Brachymyrmex <br> patagonicus | $1.0-2.0$ | one | 9 segments |
| Bicolor <br> pyramid | Dorymyrmex <br> bicolor | $2.0-3.0$ | one | 12 segments, pyramid-like projection <br> on top of the thorax |
| Pyramid | Dorymyrmex <br> insanus | $2.5-3.0$ | one | 12 segments, pyramid-like projection <br> on top of the thorax |
| Red <br> imported <br> fire | Solenopsis <br> invicta | $1.6-1.8$ | two | 10 segments with 2-segmented club <br> fire |
| False honey noon | Forelius <br> pruinosus | $1.8-2.5$ | one | 12 segments, smells like rancid butter |
| when crushed |  |  |  |  |



12-segmented antenna with a 3-segmented club


Two-node ant


10-segmented antenna with a 2-segmented club



Source: Key to Identifying Common Household Ants. UC Statewide IPM Program. https://ipm.ucanr.edu/TOOLS/ANTKEY/antid2.html

## Field characteristics of common ants in CA orchards and vineyards

| Common name | Size | Color | Worker size | Trailforming | Nest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Argentine | medium | deep brown | uniform | yes | inconspicuous or as disorganized craters |
| Field or Native gray | large | reddish-brown and dark grey | variable | no | holes with scattered soil, at base of trees/vines |
| Field | large | reddish-brown and dark grey | uniform | no | in topsoil or under rocks/debris |
| Pavement | Small | dull, blackish brown | uniform | yes | scattered entrances appearing as small volcanoes |
| Dark rover | Tiny | light to dark brown | uniform | no to weak | Inconspicuous |
| Bicolor pyramid | medium | red and black | uniform | yes | small cone-shaped mounds |
| Pyramid | medium | dark reddish brown | uniform | no to weak | small cone-shaped mounds |
| High noon | small | orangish | uniform | yes | inconspicuous, or with small disorganized soil craters |
| Pharaoh | small | amber | uniform | yes | inconspicuous |
| California harvester | large | reddish | variable | no | partial volcano with central hole that enters at an angle |
| Carpenter | large | dark | variable | yes | in live or dead wood |
| False honey | medium | brown or black | variable | no | deep in soil, inconspicuous |
| Southern fire | Medium | shiny red, and black | variable | weak | scattered entrances appearing as small volcanoes |
| Red imported fire | medium | dark reddishbrown | variable | sometimes | have appearance of gopher mounds |
| Thief | Tiny | yellow to light brown | uniform | yes | inconspicuous, or within mounds of other ants |

## Argentine Ant:

## Linepithema humile



## Identification

- 3 to 4 mm in length
- Deep brown to light black
- Single node petiole
- 12 segmented antennae
- Uniform worker size
- Multiple queens per nest
- Move rapidly in distinct trails



## Behavior

- Significant structural and agricultural pest, displace native ants
- Feeds on liquid diets with a preference for greasy and sugary liquids
- Shallow nests
- Large super-colonies of millions of ants and thousands of nests


# Field or Native Gray Ant: <br> <br> Formica aerata 

 <br> <br> Formica aerata}



Identification

- Large ant: 4.0-7.5 mm
- Reddish-brown head and thorax, dark gray and shiny abdomen
- Single node petiole
- 12 segmented antennae
- Worker size can vary
- Move in irregular patterns; do not trail



## Behavior

- Forage when above $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
- Predominant field ant species in the Central Valley
- Prefers to feed on sugar, but also eats protein
- Nests appear as holes ringed with scattered soil, often at the base of trees or vines


## Field Ant:

## Formica perpilosa



## Identification

- Large ant: 4.0-7.5 mm
- Reddish brown head and thorax with dark brown abdomen
- Single node petiole
- 12 segmented antennae
- Worker size uniform
- Move in irregular patterns; do not trail



## Behavior

- Found mainly in irrigated lands in deserts
- Predominant field ant species in the Coachella Valley.
- Forage on both honeydew and insect carcasses
- Nest in topsoil or under rocks and debris


## Pavement Ant:

## Tetramorium immigrans



## Identification

- Small ant: 2 to 3 mm
- Dull, blackish brown
- Two node petiole; pair of spines on the the thorax
- 12 segmented antennae with 3 segmented club
- Grooves on head
- Workers uniform size, one queen per colony


Behavior

- Found in urban and agricultural areas
- Moves relatively slowly, forms trails, but is not easily disturbed
- Generalist foraging habits and feeds on insects, honeydew, nuts, and fruit
- Builds nests near water


## Dark Rover Ant:

## Brachymyrmex patagonicus



## Identification

- Tiny ant: 1 to 2 mm
- Light to dark brown
- Single node petiole
- 9 segmented antennae
- Workers uniform size
- Multiple queens per nest
- Does not trail, or forms very weak trails


Behavior

- Foraging ants can invade and nest in structures
- Colonies in soil, bases of trees, leaf litter, wood piles, structures
- Feeds on honeydew
- Relatively new invasive species in California


## Bicolor Pyramid Ant: Dorymyrmex bicolor



## Identification

- 2 to 3 mm in length
- Red with black abdomen
- Single node petiole with pyramid-like projection on top rear of thorax
- 12 segmented antennae
- Workers uniform size
- Fast moving and usually travel in trails



## Behavior

- Workers forage in morning or afternoon
- Feed on honeydew and insects
- Nests appear as small cone shaped mounds


## Pyramid ant:

## Dorymyrmex insanus




## Identification

- 2.5 to 3 mm in length
- Dark reddish brown
- Single node petiole with pyramid-like projection on top rear of thorax
- 12 segmented antennae
- Workers uniform size
- Single queen per nest
- Does not trail


## High noon ant: <br> Forelius pruinosus



## Identification

- Small ant: 1.8-2.5 mm
- Orangish color
- Single node petiole
- 12 segmented antennae
- Workers uniform size
- Multiple queens per nest
- Uses foraging trails
- When crushed emit odor resembling rancid butter


Behavior

- Active in extreme heat
- Thrives in hot and dry conditions
- Feed on honeydew and tend honeydew-excreting insects such as aphids, scale, mealybugs
- Nests are found in soil and under rocks


## Pharaoh Ant:

Monomorium pharaonis


## Identification

- Small ant: 1.5 to 2 mm
- Amber in color
- Two node petiole
- 12 segmented antennae with 3 segmented club
- Uniform worker size
- Multiple queens per nest
- Forms trails



## Behavior

- Feed on honeydew and living and dead insect
- Common pest in residences, bakeries, factories, hospitals, office buildings
- Nests near sources of food and/or water
- Colonies are very mobile


# California Harvester Ant: <br> Pogonomyrmex californicus 



## Identification

- Large ant: 5.5 to 8.7 mm
- Red in color
- Two node petiole
- Has long hairs under head for carrying sand grains
- Workers variable sizes
- One queen per nest
- Does not trail


Behavior

- Diurnal and will forage all day
- Forages mainly on seeds and dead insects
- Seed casings, detritus, and loose soil found around nest hole
- Hole at nest entrance enters at an angle


## Carpenter Ant:

## Camponotus spp.




## Identification

- Large ant: > 6mm long
- Dark color with smooth, rounded thorax
- Single node petiole
- Worker size variable
- One or more queens per nest
- Forms trails
- Several species in CA


Behavior

- Workers most active at dusk and night
- Generalist scavengers and predators
- Typically nest in live or dead wood
- Does not sting, but can bite and spray formic acid that causes a burning sensation


## False Honey Ant:

## Prenolepis imparis



## Identification

- 2 to 4 mm in length
- Light to dark brown or black, shiny
- Single node petiole
- Hourglass-shaped abdomen
- Worker size variable, one queen per colony
- Does not trail



## Behavior

- Forage in cool weather (below $68^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ), and estivate during hottest months
- Feeds on honeydew
- Nests deep in the soil
- Common in humid environments at low and medium elevations throughout California


## Thief ant:

Solenopsis molesta


## Identification

- Tiny ant: 1.3 to 1.8 mm
- Yellow to light brown
- Very small eyes
- Two node petiole
- 10 segmented antennae with 2 segmented club
- Workers uniform in size
- Multiple queens



## Behavior

- Primarily feed on proteins
- Indoor and outdoor pest
- Habitually steal food and brood from other colonies


## Southern Fire Ant:

## Solenopsis xyloni



## Identification

- 2 to 4.5 mm in length
- Shiny red head and thorax; black abdomen
- Two node petiole
- 10 segmented antennae with 2-segmented club
- Variable sized workers
- One queen per colony
- Weak at forming trails


Behavior

- Urban, turf, and ag pest
- Primarily feed on proteins, esp. seeds and insects
- Scattered nest entrances with appearance of small volcanoes
- Swarm when disturbed, aggressive workers that bite and sting


## Red Imported Fire Ant: Solenopsis invicta



## Identification

- $1.6-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length
- Dark reddish-brown
- Two node petiole
- 10 segmented antennae with 2-segmented club
- Wide range in worker size
- One queen per colony
- Can form trails


Behavior

- Invasive species
- Extremely aggressive, attacks in large numbers when disturbed
- Painful bite and sting
- Feeds primarily on proteins
- Nests have the appearance of gopher mounds
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research using pesticide active ingredients with polyacrylamide hydrogels was considered 'experimental'. Commercial use by farmers requires a pesticide label that allows use of the toxicant with hydrogels, and requires that they hydrogel be registered in California as an adjuvant.
    ${ }^{2}$ Insecticide amounts listed were based on the use of a formulated product containing 0.75 lb of thiamethoxam a.i. per pound of product, and of a formulated product containing 2 lb of spinosad a.i. per gallon of product.
    ${ }^{3}$ Research trials were conducted using a polyacrylamide hydrogel (SoilMoist 2-4 mm, JMR Chemical). Amounts may vary according to the hydrogel used and quality of water.

[^1]:    *Methodologies described were used experimentally by Havland et al. in Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) grant \#20-PMG-GR0004. Commercial use of this method is contingent upon the availability of labels approved by DPR.

